arXiv:1404.1274v1 [math.CO] 4 Apr 2014

On the Finiteness property of negative cubic Pisot bases

Tomáš Vávra
Department of Mathematics FNSPE
Czech Technical University in Prague

April 7, 2014

Abstract

We study arithmetical aspects of Ito-Sadahiro number systems with negative base. We show that the bases $-\beta < -1$, where β is zero of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - m$, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, possess the so-called finiteness property. For the Tribonacci base $-\gamma$, zero of $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1$, we present an effective algorithm for addition and subtraction. In particular, we present a finite state transducer performing these operations. As a consequence of the structure of the transducer, we determine the maximal number of fractional digits arising from addition or subtraction of two $(-\gamma)$-integers.

1 Introduction

In the study of numeration systems with non-integer base, after the Golden ratio, probably the most attention was given to its first generalization, the so-called Tribonacci constant γ, the real root of $x^3 - x^2 - x - 1$. Multiplication in systems corresponding to γ-expansions was studied Grabner et al. [10] and later Messaoudi [14], providing bounds on the number of fractional digits of the product of two γ-integers. Similar results for addition were obtained in [2, 3].

An important characteristics of a numeration system is the so-called finiteness property (F), namely that the set of finite expansions forms a ring. Property (F) for γ-expansions follows from a general result by Frougny and Solomyak [9]. This has an interesting consequence for proving connectedness of certain discrete planes [4].

Numeration systems with negative non-integer base $-\beta < -1$ received a non-negligible attention since the paper [8] of Ito and Sadahiro in 2009. Certain arithmetic aspects seem to be analogous to those for positive base systems [8, 15]. However, the construction of concrete algorithms is much more complicated in the former case. This might be due to the fact that while β-expansions are lexicographicaly greatest among all the representations of a given number, the $(-\beta)$-expansions are not extremal with respect to neither lexicographical nor alternate lexicographical ordering, which is more natural for negative base, see [6].

For example, in positive base systems, Property (F) has been proven for large classes of Pisot numbers [1, 9, 12]. On the other hand, so far the only numbers known to possess analogous Property (-F) are negative bases $-\beta$ where β is a root of $x^2 - mx + n$, $m - 2 \geq n \geq 1$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, as shown in [15].

The main results of this paper are proving Property (-F) for zeros > 1 of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - m,\ m \in \mathbb{N}$, and an explicit description of a finite state transducer performing addition and subtraction in negative Tribonacci base $-\gamma$. The existence of such a transducer was proved in [5] for any negative Pisot base. However, the proof is not constructive, and does not provide any simple procedure to construct such a transducer for a specific base. This result has implications for the possibility of modelling certain related sets in the frame of the so called cut-and project scheme, see [11]. We also give a precise bound on the number of fractional digits arising from addition and subtraction of $(-\gamma)$-integers.

2 Preliminaries

The $(-\beta)$-*expansions* considered by Ito-Sadahiro in [13] are an analogue of β-*expansions,* introduced by Rényi in [16], which use a negative base. Instead of defining the expansions of numbers from $[0, 1)$, the unit interval $[\ell, \ell + 1)$ with $\ell = \frac{-\beta}{\beta+1}$ was chosen. For $-\beta < -1$, any $x \in [\ell, \ell + 1)$ has a unique expansion of the form $d_{-\beta}(x) = x_1x_2x_3\cdots$ defined by

$$x_i = \lfloor -\beta T_{-\beta}^{i-1}(x) - \ell \rfloor, \quad \text{where} \quad T_{-\beta}(x) = -\beta x - \lfloor -\beta x - \ell \rfloor .$$

For any $x \in [\ell, \ell + 1)$ we obtain an infinite word from $A^{\mathbb{N}} = \{0, 1, \ldots, \lfloor \beta \rfloor\}^{\mathbb{N}}$.

Another analogous concept is the $(-\beta)$-*admissibility*, which characterizes all digit strings over A that are $(-\beta)$-expansion of some number. The lexicographic condition, similar to the one by Parry, was also proved in [13]. Ito and Sadahiro proved that a digit string $x_1x_2x_3\cdots \in A^{\mathbb{N}}$ is $(-\beta)$-*admissible* (or, if no confusion is possible, just admissible) if and only if it fulfills the lexicographic condition

$$d_{-\beta}(\ell) \preceq_{\text{alt}} x_ix_{i+1}x_{i+2}\cdots \prec_{\text{alt}} d^*_{-\beta}(\ell + 1) = \lim_{y\to\ell+1_-} d_{-\beta}(y) \quad \text{for all } i \geq 1 .$$

Here, the limit is taken over the product topology on $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ and $\prec_{\text{alt}}$ stands for *alternate lexicographic ordering* defined as follows:

$$u_1u_2\cdots \prec_{\text{alt}} v_1v_2\cdots \ \Leftrightarrow\ (-1)^k(u_k - v_k) < 0, \quad k = \min\{n \in \mathbb{N} :\ u_n \neq v_n\}.$$

In analogy with β-numeration, the alternate ordering corresponds to the ordering on reals in $[\ell, \ell + 1)$, i.e. $x < y \ \Leftrightarrow\ d_{-\beta}(x) \prec_{\text{alt}} d_{-\beta}(y)$.

The reference digit strings $d_{-\beta}(\ell)$ and $d^*_{-\beta}(\ell+1)$ play the same role for $(-\beta)$-expansions as Rényi expansions of unity for β-expansions. While $d_{-\beta}(\ell)$ is obtainable directly from the definition, the following rule (proved in [13]) can be used for determining $d^*_{-\beta}(\ell + 1)$:

$$d^*_{-\beta}(\ell + 1) = \begin{cases} (0l_1\cdots l_{q-1}(l_q - 1))^\omega & \text{if } d_{-\beta}(\ell) = (l_1l_2\cdots l_q)^\omega \text{ for } q \text{ odd}, \\ 0d_{-\beta}(\ell) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Example 1. *When $\beta > 1$ is zero of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - m,\ m \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $d_{-\beta}(\ell) = m0m^\omega$. The lexicographic condition is*

$$m0m^\omega \preceq_{\text{alt}} x_ix_{i+1}\cdots \prec_{\text{alt}} 0m0m^\omega.$$

Therefore the sequences from $A^{\mathbb{N}}$ with substrings $m0m^{2k-1}n,\ n < m,\ k \in \mathbb{N}$, or $0m0m^\omega$, are not admissible. Conversely, any sequence not containing those substrings is admissible.

We can now recall the definition of $(-\beta)$-expansions for all reals.

Definition 2. *Let $-\beta < -1$, $x \in \mathbb{R}$. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$ be minimal such that $\frac{x}{(-\beta)^k} \in (\ell, \ell+1)$ and $d_{-\beta}\left(\frac{x}{(-\beta)^k}\right) = x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots$. Then the $(-\beta)$-expansion of x is defined as*

$$\langle x \rangle_{-\beta} = \begin{cases} x_1 \cdots x_{k-1} x_k \bullet x_{k+1} x_{k+2} \cdots & \text{if } k \geq 1, \\ 0 \bullet x_1 x_2 x_3 \cdots & \text{if } k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Similarly as in a positive base numeration, the set of $(-\beta)$-*integers* can be defined using the notion of $\langle x \rangle_{-\beta}$. Since the base is negative, we can represent any real number without the need of a minus sign.

Definition 3. *Let $\beta > 1$. Then the sets of $(-\beta)$-integers and of numbers with finite $(-\beta)$-expansions are defined as*

$$\mathbb{Z}_{-\beta} = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x \rangle_{-\beta} = x_k \cdots x_1 x_0 \bullet 0^\omega\} \;=\; \bigcup_{i \geq 0} (-\beta)^i T_{-\beta}^{-i}(0)\,,$$

$$\mathrm{Fin}(-\beta) = \{x \in \mathbb{R} : \langle x \rangle_{-\beta} = x_k \cdots x_1 x_0 \bullet x_{-1} \cdots x_{-n} 0^\omega\} \;=\; \bigcup_{i \geq 0} (-\beta)^{-i} \mathbb{Z}_{-\beta}\,.$$

We say that β has *Property* (-F) if $\mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ is a ring, in other words, if $\mathrm{Fin}(-\beta) = \mathbb{Z}[\beta]$.

We will be interested the length of the *fractional part* of an expansion. It means that if $\langle x \rangle_{-\beta} = x_k \cdots x_0 \bullet x_{-1} \cdots x_{-n} 0^\omega$ with $x_{-n} \neq 0$, we denote the length of the fractional part of x as $\mathrm{fr}(x) = n$. We set $\mathrm{fr}(x) = +\infty$ if $x \notin \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$. The suffix 0^ω might be omitted.

It was shown in [5] that the number system with negative base $-\beta$ possesses interesting properties when $\beta > 1$ is set to be the zero of

$$x^k - mx^{k-1} - \cdots - mx - n, \;\; m \geq n \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad m = n \text{ for } k \text{ even}. \tag{1}$$

In particular,

$$\text{if} \quad x = \sum_{i=-m}^{k} x_i (-\beta)^i, \;\; x_i \in A \quad \text{then} \quad \mathrm{fr}(x) \leq m. \tag{2}$$

Let us recall that an analogous result for β-expansions comes from Ch. Frougny [7].

We will also often work with $(-\beta)$-*representations*. Note that a representation differs from the expansion by the fact that it does not have to be admissible or even over the same alphabet. In other words, the representation $x_k x_{k-1} \cdots x_0 \bullet x_{-1} \cdots$ corresponds to the number $\sum_{i \leq k} x_i (-\beta)^i$ for $x_i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

3 The arithmetics of $(-\gamma)$-expansions

In Section 4, transducers $\mathcal{T}_+$ and $\mathcal{T}_-$ are defined. The former acts on the set $\{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ and if the input is a digit-wise sum of two $(-\gamma)$-integers $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\gamma}$, then the output sequence is a representation of $x + y$ over $\{0,1\}$. The output sequence is not necessarily an expansion.



Figure 1: Transitions leading to the state 101 which cannot be escaped by reading zero

The Ito-Sadahiro $(-\beta)$-expansions are not a redundant numeration system, thus addition is not computable by a finite state transducer while respecting the admissibility condition. Also, $\mathcal{T}_+$ is not defined on the whole set $\{0,1,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$, namely, the substring 2020 may not be recognized (see Figure 1). Nevertheless, reading 2020 corresponds to the occurence of forbidden factors 1010 in both x and y. Thus, it is sufficient to assume that the string representing either x or y is admissible.

The transducer $\mathcal{T}_-$ transforms a $(-\gamma)$-representation over the alphabet $\{0,\overline{1}\}$ to the alphabet $\{0,1\}$. The symbol $\overline{a}$ stands for $-a$. Then, given a representation of x over $\{0,1\}$, the representation of $-x$ over $\{0,1\}$ can be computed as $\mathcal{T}_-(\overline{x})$ where $\overline{x}$ stands for the string x whose digits "are reversed", i.e. an image under the morphism $0 \mapsto 0, 1 \mapsto \overline{1}$.

Even though the transducers act on sequences, the output sequence can be regarded as a representation. For, from the contruction it will be clear where the fractional point lies. We denote by $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(x)$ and $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(x)$ the lengths of fractional parts of the output $(-\gamma)$-representations, i.e. if $\mathcal{T}_+(x) = \cdots \bullet z_{-1}\cdots z_{-n}0^\omega$, $z_{-n} \neq 0$, then $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(x) = n$. Note that $\mathrm{fr}(x) \leq \mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(x)$, as follows from (2).

Before we prove Theorem 5, we present a technical lemma whose proof can be found in Section 4.

Lemma 4. *Let $z = z_k \cdots z_0 \bullet 0^\omega$, $z_i \in \{0,1,2\}$, be a digit-wise sum of two $(-\gamma)$-integers.*

1. *We have* $\mathrm{fr}(z) \leq 6$.
2. *If* $z_0 \neq 2$, *then* $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \leq 5$.
3. *If* $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \geq 2$, *then* $\mathcal{T}_+(z)$ *has suffix* 0010^ω.
4. *If* $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\gamma}$, *then* $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) \leq 3$.
5. *Let* $y \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\gamma}$ *and* $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) \geq 2$. *Then* $\mathcal{T}_-(\overline{y})$ *has suffix* 0010^ω.

Theorem 5. *Let $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}_{-\gamma}$. Then* $\mathrm{fr}(x+y), \mathrm{fr}(-x) \leq 6$.

Proof. The fact that $\mathrm{fr}(x+y) \leq 6$ is a direct consequence of item 1 of Lemma 4. Let us focus on $\mathrm{fr}(x-y)$. We distinguish the cases corresponding to the values $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) \in \{0,1,2,3\}$.

Obviously, we have $x - y = x + \mathcal{T}_-(\overline{y})$, and if $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) = 0$, then by Lemma 4, item 1, it holds $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \leq 6$.

1. If $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) = 1$, then $x - y = x + \mathcal{T}_-(\overline{y}) = z_k \cdots z_0 \bullet 1,\ z_i \in \{0,1,2\}$. By Lemma 4, item 2, we have $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}((-\beta)(x-y)) \le 5$, thus $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \le 6$.

2. Let $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) = 2$. Then by item 3 of the lemma, $\mathcal{T}_-(\overline{y})$ has suffix 001 and we can write

$$x - y = z_k \cdots z_0 \bullet +0 \bullet 01 = z + 0 \bullet 01,\ z_0 \neq 2.$$

   We now apply $\mathcal{T}_+$ on z. It holds $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \le 5$ and in case $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \in \{0,1\}$ we directly obtain $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \le 2$.

   If $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) = 2$, then $z_0 = 0$ and thus

$$x - y = z + 0 \bullet 01 = \mathcal{T}_+(z) + 0 \bullet 01 = \cdots 0 \bullet 02 = \cdots 1 \bullet 111,$$

   i.e. $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \le 3$. Here we used the representation of zero $0 = 11\overline{1}1 = \overline{1}\overline{1}1\overline{1}$, that follows from the minimal polynomial for γ.

   In case $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \in \{3,4\}$, we have by item 2 of the lemma that $\mathcal{T}_+(z) = \cdots \bullet 001$ or $\mathcal{T}_+(z) = \cdots \bullet z_{-1}001$, and therefore $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \le 4$.

   If $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) = 5$ then either $x - y = \cdots \bullet z_{-1}1001,\ z_{-1} \in \{0,1\}$, or one of the following happens.

   (a) $x - y = \cdots \bullet 12001 = \cdots \bullet 0^\omega$, or

   (b)

$$x - y = \cdots 0(01)^k \bullet 02001 = \begin{cases} \cdots 1 \bullet 1001 & \text{for } k = 0, \\ \cdots 110 \bullet 0 & \text{for } k = 1. \end{cases}$$

   Using the rewriting rules

$$0 = 11(\overline{1}0\overline{1})(101\overline{1}0\overline{1})^m 1\overline{1} = 11(\overline{1}0\overline{1})(101\overline{1}0\overline{1})^m(101)\overline{1}1, \quad m \ge 0,$$

   one can verify that $\mathrm{fr}(x-y) \le 5$ also holds for all $k \ge 2$.

3. If $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) = 3$, then the statement clearly holds for $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \in \{0,1,2,4,5\}$. The cases $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_+}(z) \in \{3,6\}$ can be solved analogously to the previous case.

□

The maximum value of fractional digits arising from arithmetical operations is sometimes denoted as $L_\oplus(-\beta)$ (addition and subtraction) and $L_\otimes(-\beta)$ (multiplication), cf. [15]. In fact, Theorem 5 gives $L_\oplus(-\gamma) \le 6$. The inequality $L_\oplus(-\gamma) \ge 6$ is shown in Example 6. Altogether, we have $L_\oplus(-\gamma) = 6$.

4 Transducers $\mathcal{T}_+$ and $\mathcal{T}_-$

The aim of this section is to demonstrate Lemma 4, which was crucial in proving Theorem 5. The main element for the demonstration are transducers $\mathcal{T}_+$ and $\mathcal{T}_-$ which are defined below.

4.1 Transducer $\mathcal{T}_+$

The idea of the construction of the adding automaton is the following: First, do a digit-wise sum of two numbers. Then, read this representation over the alphabet $\{0,1,2\}$ from left to right, and, using the rewriting rule $0 = 11\overline{1}1$, rewrite it to the alphabet $\{0,1\}$. We show that we can obtain a letter-to-letter transducer, i.e. a transducer that reads and outputs only one letter at a time. However, for simplicity, we present a transducer that is not letter-to-letter, but can be modified to such.

We define the tranducer $\mathcal{T}_+ = (Q, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, E, I)$ where

- $Q \subset \{\overline{2}, \overline{1}, 0, 1, 2, 3\}^3$ is the set of states;
- $I = 000$ is the initial state;
- $\mathbb{A} = \{0,1,2\}$ is the input alphabet;
- $\mathbb{B} = \{0,1\}$ is the output alphabet;
- $E \subset \{(q,(u,v),q') : \ q,q' \in Q,\ (u,v) \in \mathbb{A}^* \times \mathbb{B}^*\}$ are the labeled edges defined in the list below.

We will denote the edge $(q,(u,v),q')$ as the transition $q|u \to v|q'$. The transducer can be seen as a sliding window of length 4 (or 5 in some cases) that changes the string inside of itself and moves to the right while putting its left most symbol to the output.

One can verify that the transitions defined below do not change the numerical value of the input string since the right side is obtained by adding a representations of zero. That is, if $q|u \to v|q'$ then vq' can be obtained from qu by adding the strings $11\overline{1}1$ or $\overline{11}1\overline{1}$ (possibly two times). Moreover, with one exception, the transitions from any state are defined for any input letter from A. The exception is the state 101 that cannot be escaped by reading symbol 0. Nevertheless, the only path to the state 101 leads from 131 by reading the string 202 on the input (see Figure 1). Reading 2020 would mean that both x and y contain forbidden string 1010. Therefore we assume that at least one summand does not contain 1010.

Example 6. *We will show how $\mathcal{T}_+$ acts on the number* $212\bullet$ *. First, we add the leading factor* 000 *and the tailing factor* 000000000 *to the representation. Below is the sliding window representation and on the right side are the corresponding transitions of $\mathcal{T}_+$. Input and output symbols are in bold.*

$[0$	0	0	$\mathbf{2}]$	1	2	$\bullet$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$000\|2 \to 0\|002$
$\mathbf{0}$	$[0$	0	2	$\mathbf{1}]$	2	$\bullet$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$002\|1 \to 1\|112$
0	$\mathbf{1}$	$[1$	1	2	$\mathbf{2}]$	$\bullet$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$112\|2 \to 1\|122$
0	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$[1$	2	2	$\bullet$	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$122\|0 \to 1\|220$
0	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$[2$	2	$\bullet$	0	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	$220\|0 \to 1\|11\overline{1}$
0	1	1	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$[1$	$\bullet$	1	$\overline{1}$	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	0	0	0	$11\overline{1}\|0 \to 0\|00\overline{1}$
0	1	1	1	1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\bullet$	$[0$	0	$\overline{1}$	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	0	0	$00\overline{1}\|0 \to 0\|0\overline{1}0$
0	1	1	1	1	0	$\bullet$	$\mathbf{0}$	$[0$	$\overline{1}$	0	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	0	$0\overline{1}0\|0 \to 1\|0\overline{1}1$
0	1	1	1	1	0	$\bullet$	0	$\mathbf{1}$	$[0$	$\overline{1}$	1	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	0	0	$0\overline{1}1\|0 \to 1\|001$
0	1	1	1	1	0	$\bullet$	0	1	$\mathbf{1}$	$[0$	0	1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}]$	0	$001\|00 \to 00\|100$
0	1	1	1	1	0	$\bullet$	0	1	1	$\mathbf{0}$	$\mathbf{0}$	$[1$	0	0	$\mathbf{0}]$	$100\|0 \to 1\|000$
0	1	1	1	1	0	$\bullet$	0	1	1	0	0	$\mathbf{1}$	$[0$	0	0	$\mathbf{0}]$ $000\|0 \to 0\|000$

Hence it holds that $212\bullet = 11110 \bullet 011001$. *The latter representation is admissible from which it follows that* $\mathrm{fr}(2\gamma^2 - \gamma + 2) = 6$.

The transducer $\mathcal{T}_+$ is defined by the following list of transitions:

$000|0 \to 0|000$
$000|1 \to 0|001$
$000|2 \to 0|002$
$001|00 \to 00|100$
$001|01 \to 11|011$
$001|02 \to 11|012$
$001|1 \to 0|011$
$001|2 \to 0|012$
$002|0 \to 1|111$
$002|1 \to 1|112$
$002|21 \to 11|131$
$002|22 \to 11|132$
$002|20 \to 00|220$
$003|0 \to 1|121$
$003|1 \to 1|122$
$003|2 \to 1|123$
$00\overline{1}|0 \to 0|0\overline{1}0$
$00\overline{1}|1 \to 0|0\overline{1}1$
$00\overline{1}|2 \to 0|0\overline{1}2$
$011|0 \to 0|110$
$011|1 \to 0|111$
$011|2 \to 0|112$
$012|0 \to 0|120$
$012|1 \to 0|121$
$012|2 \to 0|122$
$013|00 \to 00|21\overline{1}$
$013|01 \to 11|120$
$013|02 \to 11|121$
$013|1 \to 0|131$
$013|2 \to 0|132$
$023|0 \to 0|230$
$023|1 \to 0|231$
$023|2 \to 0|232$
$0\overline{1}0|0 \to 1|0\overline{1}1$
$0\overline{1}0|1 \to 1|0\overline{1}2$
$0\overline{1}0|20 \to 00|111$
$0\overline{1}0|21 \to 00|112$
$0\overline{1}0|22 \to 11|023$
$0\overline{1}1|0 \to 1|001$
$0\overline{1}1|1 \to 1|002$
$0\overline{1}1|2 \to 1|003$
$0\overline{1}2|0 \to 1|011$
$0\overline{1}2|1 \to 1|012$
$0\overline{1}2|2 \to 1|013$
$0\overline{11}|00 \to 00|0\overline{1}1$
$0\overline{11}|01 \to 00|0\overline{1}2$
$0\overline{11}|02 \to 11|\overline{1}03$
$0\overline{11}|1 \to 0|\overline{11}1$
$0\overline{11}|2 \to 0|\overline{11}2$
$100|0 \to 1|000$
$100|1 \to 1|001$
$100|2 \to 1|002$
$101|1 \to 1|011$
$101|2 \to 1|012$
$10\overline{1}|0 \to 1|0\overline{1}0$
$10\overline{1}|1 \to 1|0\overline{1}1$
$10\overline{1}|2 \to 1|0\overline{1}2$
$110|0 \to 1|100$
$110|10 \to 00|100$
$110|11 \to 11|011$
$110|12 \to 11|012$
$110|2 \to 0|011$
$111|0 \to 1|110$
$111|1 \to 1|111$
$111|2 \to 1|112$
$112|0 \to 1|120$
$112|1 \to 1|121$
$112|2 \to 1|122$
$11\overline{1}|0 \to 0|00\overline{1}$
$11\overline{1}|1 \to 0|000$
$11\overline{1}|2 \to 0|001$
$11\overline{2}|0 \to 0|0\overline{11}$
$11\overline{2}|1 \to 0|0\overline{1}0$
$11\overline{2}|2 \to 0|0\overline{1}1$
$120|0 \to 0|11\overline{1}$

$120|1 \to 0|110$
$120|2 \to 0|111$
$121|00 \to 00|10\overline{1}$
$121|01 \to 00|100$
$121|02 \to 11|011$
$121|1 \to 0|120$
$121|2 \to 0|121$
$122|0 \to 1|220$
$122|10 \to 00|21\overline{1}$
$122|11 \to 11|120$
$122|12 \to 11|121$
$122|2 \to 0|131$
$123|0 \to 1|230$
$123|1 \to 1|231$
$123|2 \to 1|232$
$12\overline{1}|0 \to 0|10\overline{1}$
$12\overline{1}|1 \to 0|100$
$12\overline{1}|2 \to 0|101$
$131|0 \to 0|22\overline{1}$
$131|1 \to 0|220$
$131|2 \to 0|221$
$132|0 \to 0|23\overline{1}$
$132|1 \to 0|230$
$132|2 \to 0|231$
$21\overline{1}|0 \to 1|00\overline{1}$
$21\overline{1}|1 \to 1|000$
$21\overline{1}|2 \to 1|001$
$220|0 \to 1|11\overline{1}$
$220|1 \to 1|110$
$220|2 \to 1|111$
$221|0 \to 1|12\overline{1}$
$221|1 \to 1|120$
$221|2 \to 1|121$
$22\overline{1}|0 \to 1|10\overline{1}$
$22\overline{1}|1 \to 1|100$
$22\overline{1}|20 \to 00|100$
$22\overline{1}|21 \to 11|011$
$22\overline{1}|22 \to 11|012$
$230|0 \to 1|21\overline{1}$
$230|10 \to 00|10\overline{1}$
$230|11 \to 00|100$
$230|12 \to 11|011$
$230|2 \to 0|120$
$231|0 \to 1|22\overline{1}$
$231|1 \to 1|220$
$231|20 \to 00|21\overline{1}$
$231|21 \to 11|120$
$231|22 \to 11|121$
$232|0 \to 1|23\overline{1}$
$232|1 \to 1|230$
$232|2 \to 1|231$
$23\overline{1}|0 \to 0|11\overline{2}$
$23\overline{1}|1 \to 0|11\overline{1}$
$23\overline{1}|2 \to 0|110$
$\overline{1}03|0 \to 0|121$
$\overline{1}03|1 \to 0|122$
$\overline{1}03|2 \to 0|123$
$\overline{11}1|0 \to 0|001$
$\overline{11}1|1 \to 0|002$
$\overline{11}1|2 \to 0|003$
$\overline{11}2|0 \to 0|011$
$\overline{11}2|1 \to 0|012$
$\overline{11}2|2 \to 0|013$

4.2 Transducer $\mathcal{T}_-$

Now we define the letter-to-letter transducer $\mathcal{T}_- = (Q, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{B}, E, I)$, where

- $Q \subset \{\overline{2}, \overline{1}, 0, 1\}^3$ is the set of states;
- $I = 000$ is the initial state;
- $\mathbb{A} = \{0, \overline{1}\}$ is the input alphabet;
- $\mathbb{B} = \{0, 1\}$ is the output alphabet;
- The set of transitions is defined below

$000|0 \to 0|000$
$000|\overline{1} \to 0|00\overline{1}$
$00\overline{1}|0 \to 0|0\overline{1}0$
$00\overline{1}|\overline{1} \to 0|0\overline{11}$
$0\overline{11}|0 \to 0|\overline{11}0$
$0\overline{11}|\overline{1} \to 1|0\overline{2}0$
$0\overline{2}0|0 \to 1|\overline{11}1$
$0\overline{2}0|\overline{1} \to 1|\overline{11}0$
$\overline{11}1|0 \to 0|001$
$\overline{11}1|\overline{1} \to 0|000$
$\overline{11}0|0 \to 0|0\overline{11}$
$\overline{11}0|\overline{1} \to 0|0\overline{1}0$
$0\overline{1}0|0 \to 1|0\overline{11}$
$0\overline{1}0|\overline{1} \to 1|0\overline{1}0$
$0\overline{1}1|0 \to 1|001$
$0\overline{1}1|\overline{1} \to 1|000$
$001|0 \to 0|010$
$001|\overline{1} \to 1|100$
$100|0 \to 1|000$
$100|\overline{1} \to 1|00\overline{1}$
$010|0 \to 0|100$
$010|\overline{1} \to 0|10\overline{1}$
$10\overline{1}|0 \to 1|0\overline{1}0$
$10\overline{1}|\overline{1} \to 1|0\overline{11}$



Figure 2: Subgraph of $\mathcal{T}_+$ induced by reading zeros at the input

4.3 Proof of Lemma 4

Proof. We will provide demonstration for items 1-5 of the lemma.

1. Let us assume that the transducer is in the state q and the string 0^ω is on the input. This corresponds to the situation when we start reading the fractional digits. Only

the paths starting in the states $012, 112, 122, 123, 023, 003, \overline{1}03,\ 232, 132$ result in six fractional digits (see Figure 2). Note that in this case, the last nonzero digit is 9th on the output since first three output digits belong to the integer part of the output.

2. The states listed in the proof of item 1 are accessible only by reading the digit 2.

3. From Figure 2, one can see that if 0010^ω should not be suffix of $\mathcal{T}_+(x)$, then the path to the state 000 must go through $110 \xrightarrow{0|1} 100$. If the path starts in $002, \overline{11}2, 0\overline{1}2$, only one fractional digit is produced. The same holds if the path goes through $0\overline{1}0$, since we must read 20 in the transition $0\overline{1}0 \xrightarrow{20|00} 111$. This case corresponds to the rewriting $0\overline{1}02\bullet \to 001 \bullet 1$. We also obtain at most one fractional digit.

4. Consider transducer $\mathcal{T}_-$ and similarly as in the proof of item 1, inspect the paths leading to 000. Precisely, it takes at most six zeros on the input to reach the state 000.

5. By the same argumentation as in the proof of item 3, one can see that $\mathcal{T}_-(\overline{y})$ has the suffix 0010^ω if $\mathrm{fr}_{\mathcal{T}_-}(\overline{y}) \geq 2$.

□

5 Property (-F) of cubic confluent Parry numbers

Let us study Property (-F) of the zeros of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - n,\ m, n \in \mathbb{N},\ m \geq n \geq 1$. Such numbers belong to the class (1), sometimes called confluent Parry numbers. Let us recall that in this case $\lfloor \beta \rfloor = m$ and $\sum_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} x_i(-\beta)^i \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ for any sequence $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ with finitely many nonzero elements. It was shown in [5] that the zeros of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - n,\ m > n \geq 1$ do not possess Property (-F), hence we focus only on the case $m = n$. Property (-F) for γ already follows from Section 4. For if 0^ω is a suffix of the input sequence, the transducer reaches the state 000 where it has a cycle $000|0 \to 0|000$.

The closeness of $\mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ under multiplication follows trivially from the closeness under addition. For any $x, y \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$, we can write $x+y = x+y_{k_1}(-\beta)^{k_1}+\cdots+y_{k_r}(-\beta)^{k_r}$, where r is the number of nonzero digits in a representation of y. Since it holds $(-\beta)^d \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta) = \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ for all $d \in \mathbb{Z}$, it suffices to show that $-1, x + 1 \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ for any $x \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$. Note that $-1\bullet = 1m \bullet 00m \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$, and $x + 1 \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ trivially if $x = x_k \cdots x_0 \bullet x_{-1} \cdots,\ x_0 \neq m$. Hence we only need to show that $x + 1 = x_k \cdots x_1(m + 1) \bullet x_{-1} \cdots$ has a finite representation.

In order to show that $x + 1 \in \mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$, we again construct an automaton rewriting a representation of $x + 1$ to the alphabet $A = \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$. The following reasoning is helpful for an easy construction. We make use of the principle of the "sliding window" moving from left to right, see Example 6. In each step, a representation of zero is added. Let us recall that it follows from the minimal polynomial of β, that the digitwise addition of strings $1m\overline{m}m = \overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{m}$ does not change the value of a representation. Moreover, we do not consider output digits as long as they belong to A. The initial setting of the window

will be such that it contains the digit $(m+1)$. Obviously, the automaton can stop anytime when the digits in the window belong to A.

The states of the automaton are divided into several sets Q_i listed below, not necessarily disjoint. In each set of states, we consider all the choices $x, y, z \in \{0, 1, \ldots, m\}$ that satisfy additional conditions. These conditions are of two types. Underlined conditions may not be satisfied, however, if they are not fulfilled, we just found a finite representation over A and the automaton stops. The other conditions are either assumptions of the initial configuration, or inherited from the previous states. We also assume that the input digit is any member of A. For a given representation of $x+1 = x_k \cdots x_1(m+1) \bullet x_{-1} \cdots$, we have the following initial states:

1. If $x_1 \geq 1$, the initial state lies in Q_{I}.
2. If $x_2 < m, x_1 = 0$, the initial state lies in Q_1.
3. If $x_4x_3x_2x_1x_0 = x_4x_3m0(m+1)$, then it necessarily holds $x_4x_3 \neq m0$, otherwise x is a non-admissible representation of x. In case $x_3 \geq 1$, we have $x_3m0(m+1) = (x_3-1)0m1$ and we found a finite representation of $x+1$. In other case we have $x_3 = 0, x_4 < m$ and we rewrite $x_40m0(m+1) = (x_4+1)m0m(m+1)$ which corresponds to a state in Q_{I}.

Example 7. *Let $\beta > 1$ be the zero of $x^3 - 2x^2 - 2x - 2$. Consider the representation $x+1 = 10203 \bullet 10022$. First, according to item 3 above, we rewrite $10203 \bullet 10022 = 22023 \bullet 10022$, hence we start in the state $(2,3,1) \in Q_{\mathrm{I}}$.*

2	2	0	[2	3	•	1	**0**]	0	1	2	0	0	$Q_{\mathrm{I}} \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_{\mathrm{II}} \subseteq Q_4$
2	2	0	1	[1	•	3	$\overline{2}$	**0**]	1	2	0	0	$Q_4 \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_5$
2	2	0	1	0	•	[1	2	$\overline{2}$	**1**]	2	0	0	$Q_5 \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_7$
2	2	0	1	0	•	0	[0	0	$\overline{1}$	**2**]	0	0	$Q_7 \xrightarrow{0000} Q_8$
2	2	0	1	0	•	0	0	[0	$\overline{1}$	2	**0**]	0	$Q_8 \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_9$
2	2	0	1	0	•	0	0	1	[1	0	2	**0**]	$Q_9 : (y = m \wedge z = 0) \Rightarrow$ **STOP**

Note that y and z are not the values in the window, they are the values in the original representation.

Now we define the transducer by the set of states Q_i together with the transitions and corresponding rewriting rules. Note that several times it holds $Q_i \subseteq Q_j$, for instance for $(i,j) = (2,10), (7,5), (1,9)$. We treat them separately for the sake of simplicity of verification.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
Q_{\mathrm{I}} : \{(x, m+1, z) : x \neq 0\} & \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_{II} \\
Q_{\mathrm{II}} : \{(1, y+m, z-m) : \underline{(y \neq 0 \vee z \neq m)}\} & \subseteq Q_4 \\
Q_1 : \{(x, 0, m+1) : x \neq m\} & \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_2 \\
Q_2 : \{(m, 1, z+m) : \underline{z \neq 0}\} & \xrightarrow{0000} Q_3 \\
Q_3 : \{(1, y+m, z) : y \neq 0\} & \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_4 \\
Q_4 : \{(x, y+m, z-m) : x \neq 0, \underline{(y \neq 0 \vee z \neq m)}\} & \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_5 \\
Q_5 : \{(x, y, z-m) : (x \neq 0 \vee y \neq m), \underline{z \neq m}\} & \begin{cases} \xrightarrow{0000} Q_6 & \text{if } y \neq m \\ \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_7 & \text{if } y = m \end{cases} \\
Q_6 : \{(x, y-m, z) : x \neq m, y \neq m\} & \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_9 \\
Q_7 : \{(0, y, z-m) : y \neq m, \underline{z \neq m}\} & \xrightarrow{0000} Q_8 \\
Q_8 : \{(x, y-m, z) : x \neq m, y \neq m\} & \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_9 \\
Q_9 : \{(x, y-m, z+m) : x \neq m, \underline{(y \neq m \vee z \neq 0)}\} & \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_{10} \\
Q_{10} : \{(x, y, z+m) : (x \neq m \vee y \neq 0), \underline{z \neq 0}\} & \begin{cases} \xrightarrow{1m\overline{m}n} Q_{11} & \text{if } y = 0 \\ \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_{12} & \text{if } y \neq 0 \end{cases} \\
Q_{11} : \{(m, y, z+m) : y \neq 0, \underline{z \neq 0}\} & \xrightarrow{0000} Q_{12} \\
Q_{12} : \{(x, y+m, z) : x \neq 0, y \neq 0\} & \xrightarrow{\overline{1}\overline{m}m\overline{n}} Q_{13} \\
Q_{13} : \{(x, y+m, z-m) : x \neq 0, \underline{(y \neq 0 \vee z \neq m)}\} & = Q_4
\end{array}
$$

One can see that the output stays in A. It remains to show that the automaton stops for any entry with suffix 0^ω. Indeed, there is a unique loop $Q_4 \to \cdots \to Q_{10} \to \cdots \to Q_{13} = Q_4$, and ultimately, the condition $z \neq 0$ in Q_{10} is not fulfilled. We have thus proved the following theorem.

Theorem 8. *Let $\beta > 1$ be the zero of $x^3 - mx^2 - mx - n$, $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, $m \geq n \geq 1$. Then $\mathrm{Fin}(-\beta)$ is a ring if and only if $n = m$.*

6 Conclusions

We extended a class of numbers that are known to possess Property (-F). It seems that this property is satisfied also by the zeros of (1) of arbitrary odd degree, in case that $m = n$. An approach described in Section 5 might lead to obtaining such result. It would be nice to find a more general class satisfying Property (-F), somehow comparable for instance with the bases given in [9] or [12].

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank to Zuzana Masáková for many useful comments. This work was supported by the Grant Agency of the Czech Technical University in Prague, grant No. SGS14/205/OHK4/3T/14 and Czech Science Foundation grant 13-03538S.

References

[1] S. Akiyama, *Cubic Pisot units with finite beta expansions*, Algebraic number theory and Diophantine analysis (Graz, 1998), 11–26, de Gruyter, Berlin, 2000.

[2] P. Ambrož, Ch. Frougny, Z. Masáková, E. Pelantová, *Arithmetics on number systems with irrational bases*, Bull. Soc. Math. Belg. 10 (2003) 641–659.

[3] J. Bernat, *Computation of $L_\oplus$ for several cubic Pisot numbers*, Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 9 (2007), no. 2, 175—193 (electronic).

[4] V. Berthé, E. Domenjoud, D. Jamet, X. Provençal, *Fully Subtractive Algorithm, Tribonacci numeration and connectedness of discrete planes*, RIMS Lecture note 'Kokyuroku Bessatu', to appear.

[5] D. Dombek, Z. Masáková, T. Vávra, *Confluent Pisot bases in negative base number systems*, submitted, 23pp, available at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1402.4314`.

[6] K. Dajani, Ch. Kalle, *Transformations generating negative β-expansions*, INTEGERS 11B (2011), A5.

[7] Ch. Frougny, *Confluent Linear Numeration Systems*, Theor. Comput. Sci. 106 (2) (1992), 183–219.

[8] Ch. Frougny, A. C. Lai, *Negative bases and automata,* Discrete Math. Theor. Comput. Sci. 13 (2011), no. 1, 75—93.

[9] Ch. Frougny, B. Solomyak, *Finite beta-expansions*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems 12 (1992), no. 4, 713–723.

[10] P. J. Grabner, A. Pethő, R. F. Tichy, G. J. Wöginger, *Associativity of recurrence multiplication*, Appl. Math. Letters, 7, (1994), 85–90.

[11] T. Hejda, E. Pelantová, *Spectral Properties of Cubic Complex Pisot Units*, 17pp, avaible at `http://arxiv.org/abs/1312.0653`.

[12] M. Hollander, *Linear numeration systems, finite beta expansions, and discrete spectrum of substitution dynamical systems*, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Washington, 1996.

[13] S. Ito and T. Sadahiro, *Beta-expansions with negative bases*, INTEGERS 9 (2009), 239–259.

[14] A. Messaoudi, *Tribonacci multiplication*, Appl. Math. Lett., 15(8) (2002), 981–985.

[15] Z. Masáková, E. Pelantová, T. Vávra, *Arithmetics in number systems with negative base*, Theor. Comp. Sci. 412 (2011), 835–845.

[16] A. Rényi, *Representations for real numbers and their ergodic properties*, Acta Math. Acad. Sci. Hung. 8 (1957), 477–493.